A viral epitope that mimics a self antigen can accelerate but not initiate autoimmune diabetes
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We document here that infection of prediabetic mice with a virus expressing an H-2Kb−restricted mimic ligand to a self epitope present on β cells accelerates the development of autoimmune diabetes. Immunization with the mimic ligand expanded autoreactive T cell populations, which was followed by their trafficking to the islets, as visualized in situ by tetramer staining. In contrast, the mimic ligand did not generate sufficient autoreactive T cells in naive mice to initiate disease. Diabetes acceleration did not occur in H-2Kd−deficient mice or in mice tolerized to the mimetic ligand. Thus, arenavirus-expressed mimics of self antigens accelerate a previously established autoimmune process. Sequential heterologous viral infections might therefore act in concert to precipitate clinical autoimmune disease, even if single exposure to a viral mimic does not always cause sufficient tissue destruction.

Introduction

Evidence obtained by study of genetically identical twin pairs indicates that environmental or epigenetic factors play a role during the pathogenesis of human autoimmune diseases (1). Furthermore, epidemiological studies have associated infections with many different viruses with autoimmune diseases like type 1 diabetes (T1D) (2–5) and MS (6–9). However, it is still unclear how these infectious agents would cause autoimmunity. Molecular mimicry is one hypothesis to explain such a link mechanistically, and it postulates that cross-reactions with “foreign” (infectious) ligands during host defense activate autoreactive lymphocytes or, conversely, that lymphocytes activated during (infectious) ligands during host defense activate autoreactive lymphocytes or, conversely, that lymphocytes activated during antiviral responses recognize autoantigens, thus initiating an autoimmune process. Evidence for this concept (reviewed in refs. 10, 11) has involved the demonstration of T lymphocytes or antibodies cross-reactive with host proteins (12, 13). For example, T lymphocyte clones recovered from patients with MS recognized both viral epitopes as well as self (myelin) ligands (14). In human T1D, Honeyman and colleagues have found a significant link between the occurrence of rotavirus infections in young children and the emergence of islet antibodies, suggesting cross-reactivity between rotavirus and islet antigens (15). However, the association of T1D and rotavirus infections is still controversial, as a similar study from Finland (16) could not confirm the results obtained in the Australian series (15).

Although molecular mimicry has been studied extensively as an initiating event for autoimmunity, little is known about its effect on an already established, ongoing autoimmune process. This was the focus of our study here. Murine animal models of autoimmunity have defined three separate mechanisms that may act alone or together in the causation of autoimmune disease: molecular mimicry (reviewed in refs. 17–20), epitope spreading (19, 21), and bystander activation (22–26). We focused here on molecular mimicry using a murine T1D model of heterologous, sequential viral infections. We elucidated a novel mechanistic link between infectious events and autoimmune disease by showing that mimicry between viral and self antigens can accelerate but not easily initiate autoimmune diabetes.

Heterologous virus infections have typically been considered positive enhancers of overall immune health through the repeated activation of cross-reactive memory T lymphocytes (27). Via this mechanism, immunity to one organism can provide an advantage to the host in combating new infections without severely diminishing immune memory to the first organism. The benefits of heterologous infections to the immune system, however, carry within them a potential downside: a second or tertiary infection of heterologous infections to the immune system, however, carry within them a potential downside: a second or tertiary infection may alter T cell hierarchy in such a way that self-reactive, initially subdominant T cell populations generated during previous infections are expanded, leading to enhanced immunopathology and autoimmune disease. We investigated here whether activation and expansion of autoreactive lymphocyte populations can occur after interaction with lower-avidity viral ligands that mimic self ligands and whether this might lead to clinical disease after repeated stimulation with heterologous viral infections and/or within an already established local inflammatory environment (28) within the target organ. The rat insulin promoter–lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus–nucleoprotein (RIP-LCMV-NP) transgenic mouse model of autoimmune diabetes, in which the NP from LCMV is expressed transgenically as a self antigen in pancreatic β cells as well as the thymus, coupled with LCMV infection leads to LCMV-specific
autoreactive CD8 and CD4 lymphocytes (29) that initiate the destruction of β cells (30). Islet destruction requires both perforin and IFN-γ (31). Importantly, in these mice, the full autoimmune process in the islets develops after the systemic antiviral T cell response to the triggering virus (LCMV) has receded and virus has been cleared. At that time, antibodies against other islet antigens like insulin and glutamic acid decarboxylase appear. Thymic expression of the viral protein leads to elimination of the majority of these cells (29). Thus, the viral NP, which is genetically passed to progeny mice, acts like a true self antigen in RIP-LCMV mice. One can envision a similar scenario in humans affected by T1D: we know that many islet antigens are expressed in the thymus; consequently, the numbers and/or avidity of autoreactive lymphocytes are low and viral infections that affect the pancreas, among other organs, are not infrequent.

We found here that heterologous sequential viral infections can augment autoreactive cross-reactive T lymphocytes in the target organ, above the disease-initiating threshold (32), so that major tissue injury and diabetes develop much more rapidly. The outcome of our study here clearly demonstrates that cross-reactivity between viral and self epitopes can augment but not initiate autoimmune disease in this model. In addition, our studies show that the infectious agent essential for initiating the autoimmune process can be cleared by the host immune response prior to a secondary virus infection, which is responsible for the disease acceleration. The resulting more rapid diabetes development occurs only if the secondary infection takes place during the prediabetic phase, but not in healthy, unprimed animals. Thus, in humans, disease acceleration could be the result of the combined effect of a few to several immunological cross-reactive viruses.

**Results**

Challange of unprimed, naive animals with viruses that express lower-avidity mimic ligands of β-cell epitopes fail to induce autoimmune diabetes. Infection of RIP-LCMV-NP mice with LCMV strain Armstrong (LCMV-Arm) NP protein (LCMV-NP) in β cells, with LCMV-Arm leads to T1D 2–4 months after infection in more than 95% of mice. By 10–14 days after LCMV infection, virus is cleared from infected mice (29). In order to identify the T cell epitopes of the viral NP molecule responsible for induction of diabetes, we infected transgenic mice with LCMV-Arm that contains LCMV-NP396 and LCMV-NP205 cytototoxic T cell epitopes or with an LCMV-NP396 cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) escape variant (LCMV-Arm escape variant; LCMV-Arm-Var) that contains a single amino acid substitution of phenylalanine to leucine at position 403 (substitution underlined: FQPQNGQFI to FQPQNGQL; Table 1) (33). While infection with LCMV-Arm caused diabetes with the expected kinetics, infection with LCMV-Arm-Var, which lacked the LCMV-NP396 epitope but still expressed the normal LCMV-NP205 epitope (33), did not (Figure 1A). Thus, the LCMV-NP396 epitope that is recognized with comparatively high avidity (34) was essential for initiation of T1D in RIP-NP mice.

To assess the contribution of the LCMV-NP205 CD8 T cell epitope for induction of diabetes, we utilized Pichinde virus (PV), another member of the arenavirus family, which contains the cross-reactive H-2Kb-restricted epitope PV-NP205, YTVKFPNM, that shares six of eight amino acids with the LCMV-NP205 epitope, YTVKYPNL (substitutions underlined; Table 1) (27). Although binding of the LCMV-NP205 and PV-NP205 epitopes to H-2Kb (32) was similar (despite differences in the MHC anchoring residues at positions 209 and 212), they exhibited differential antigenic properties. PV infection elicited more CD8 T cells to the PV-NP205 than to the LCMV-NP205 epitope in earlier studies (27), indicating that true cross-reactivity of the interaction of the NP205 peptide presented by MHC class I in conjunction with TCR was operational rather than reduced binding affinity of the mimic peptide to MHC. Despite the presence of this cross-reactivity with the LCMV-NP transgene, infection of transgenic mice with PV failed to induce diabetes (Figure 1A). This lack of disease induction was likely associated with the approximately 100-fold lower avidity of PV-NP205 compared with LCMV-NP396 and LCMV-NP205 epitopes to H-2Kb (32). Importantly, the PV infection had to occur at a time when islet destruction was already ongoing (initiated by LCMV infection 4 weeks earlier), as the reverse scenario (when PV was given first followed by secondary PV or LCMV infection) did not accelerate T1D (Figure 1B). This observation shows that PV can enhance LCMV-induced T1D in RIP-LCMV-NP mice.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CD8 T cell epitopes of LCMV-NP and PV-NP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunodominant NP epitopes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCMV-Arm NP396-404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pichinde virus NP16-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pichinde virus NP38-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pichinde virus NP122-132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subdominant NP epitopes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCMV-Arm NP205-212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pichinde virus NP205-212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other epitopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCMV-Arm-Var NP396-404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCMV-Arm GP33-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunodominant and subdominant CD8 T cell epitopes of LCMV-NP and PV-NP are shown with their individual MHC restrictions. Amino acids shared between LCMV-NP205 and PV-NP205 are underlined. (32).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
indicates that LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cells react to the NP 205 epitope of LCMV and PV (LCMV/PV-NP mice strongly and selectively expanded the LCMV-specific CD8 T cells but much lower numbers of LCMV-NP396–specific CD8 T cells. The slower development of disease in RIP-LCMV-NP mice than in RIP-LCMV-NP or PV. As expected, primary and secondary PV infection expanded the dominant PV-NP38–specific population (Figure 2B). Furthermore, as described earlier (29), infection of RIP-LCMV-NP mice with LCMV alone resulted in high numbers of LCMV-GP33–specific CD8 T cells but much lower numbers of LCMV-NP396–specific CD8 T cells, as this mouse line expresses LCMV-NP in the thy mus as well as in the pancreas, resulting in thymic negative selection of a significant proportion of NP-specific CD8 T cells. The slower development of disease in RIP-LCMV-NP mice than in RIP-LCMV-GP mice (38) can be attributed to this fact. Important for our investigation here is that secondary PV infection in LCMV-infected RIP-LCMV-NP mice strongly and selectively expanded the LCMV-NP205–specific CD8 T cell population but none of the other LCMV-specific populations (LCMV-GP33 and LCMV-NP396). This finding indicates that LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cells are alone not sufficient to cause T1D in RIP-LCMV-NP mice but are important for the acceleration of disease observed after secondary PV infection. Most likely they must be present in islets together with LCMV-NP396–specific CD8 T cells in order to cause disease, as diabetes never developed after single PV infection (Figure 1A). The selective expansion of LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cell populations is in concordance with observations of Brehm et al. (27) and strengthens the hypothesis that PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells play a role in the acceleration of diabetes in mice with preclinical diabetes. Challenge of mice immune to LCMV (LCMV-immune mice) with a secondary LCMV infection did not result in a long-lasting expansion of either LCMV-NP396–specific or LCMV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cell populations (Figure 2B). This stands well in agreement with our earlier findings that sequential infection with LCMV-Arm has no influence on the incidence and kinetics of diabetes in RIP-LCMV-NP mice (39).

We further investigated whether the expansion/activation of previously primed LCMV/PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cell populations by the mimic epitope expressed by PV enhanced the effector functions of these cells. Primary infection of C57BL/6 wild type or RIP-LCMV-NP mice with PV induced no detectable CD8 T cells response to whole LCMV-NP protein (Table 2). However, PV-challenged, LCMV-primed mice made clearly detectable recall responses to whole LCMV-NP, as evidenced by killing assays (Table 2), and to LCMV-NP396 as shown by intracellular cytokine staining for IFN-γ by flow cytometry (Figure 2C). In agreement with the results presented in Figure 2C is the substantially increased number of PV-NP205 CTL precursors in mice that received LCMV and then PV sequentially (Figure 2D). Thus, expansion of LCMV/PV-NP205 cross-reactive CD8 T cell populations with lytic activity (31) and IFN-γ production occurs after PV infection only in LCMV-immune mice.

Sequential infection with LCMV and PV results in accumulation ofPV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells in islets. Histological examination of the pancreas at week 3 after secondary infection of LCMV-primed RIP-LCMV-NP mice with PV revealed increased lymphocyte infiltration and destruction of the islets of Langerhans (Figure 3A, lower left panel). There was profound difference in islet infiltration by CD8 T cells in these mice versus mice that had only been administered PV after primary infection with LCMV-Arm has no influence on the incidence of diabetes never developed after single PV infection (Figure 1A). The selective expansion of LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cell populations is in concordance with observations of Brehm et al. (27) and strengthens the hypothesis that PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells play a role in the acceleration of diabetes in mice with preclinical diabetes. Challenge of mice immune to LCMV (LCMV-immune mice) with a secondary LCMV infection did not result in a long-lasting expansion of either LCMV-NP396–specific or LCMV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cell populations (Figure 2B). This stands well in agreement with our earlier findings that sequential infection with LCMV-Arm has no influence on the incidence and kinetics of diabetes in RIP-LCMV-NP mice (39).

We further investigated whether the expansion/activation of previously primed LCMV/PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cell populations by the mimic epitope expressed by PV enhanced the effector functions of these cells. Primary infection of C57BL/6 wild type or RIP-LCMV-NP mice with PV induced no detectable CD8 T cells response to whole LCMV-NP protein (Table 2). However, PV-challenged, LCMV-primed mice made clearly detectable recall responses to whole LCMV-NP, as evidenced by killing assays (Table 2), and to LCMV-NP396 as shown by intracellular cytokine staining for IFN-γ by flow cytometry (Figure 2C). In agreement with the results presented in Figure 2C is the substantially increased number of PV-NP205 CTL precursors in mice that received LCMV and then PV sequentially (Figure 2D). Thus, expansion of LCMV/PV-NP205 cross-reactive CD8 T cell populations with lytic activity (31) and IFN-γ production occurs after PV infection only in LCMV-immune mice.

Sequential infection with LCMV and PV results in accumulation of PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells in islets. Histological examination of the pancreas at week 3 after secondary infection of LCMV-primed RIP-LCMV-NP mice with PV revealed increased lymphocyte infiltration and destruction of the islets of Langerhans (Figure 3A, lower left panel). There was profound difference in islet infiltration by CD8 T cells in these mice versus mice that had only been administered a single LCMV infection (Figure 3A, upper left panel).

A critical component of the hypothesis that LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cells participate in the acceleration of diabetes is the identification of these cells at the right time and place after secondary PV infection in relation to the pancreas...
CD8 T cell populations specific for the mimicking epitope PV-NP205 are significantly expanded after sequential infection with PV. (A and B) RIP-LCMV-NP and RIP-LCMV-NP × Kb(−) mice were infected with 10⁶ PFU LCMV or PV. After 4 weeks, the mice received a secondary infection of either LCMV or PV. (A) Intracellular cytokine staining (ICCS) after stimulation with PV-NP205 is displayed for 1 representative mouse infected first with LCMV (LCMV alone) and then with PV (LCMV-PV) (mean frequencies are indicated in boxed areas). (B) The frequency of epitope-specific CD8 T cells in the blood was determined by ICCS for IFN-γ after stimulation with the indicated peptides (key) immediately before (upper panel) and 7 days after (lower panel) secondary infection. (C) Numbers of H-2Kb–restricted PV-NP205–specific lymphocytes after LCMV or PV infection, assessed by ICCS for IFN-γ. Splenocytes were harvested on day 35 from mice that received LCMV at day 0 (d0) and, for the PV group, PV at day 28 (d28). Means (± SEM) are displayed. (D) Lytic precursors after LCMV-NP396 versus PV-NP205 antigenic stimulation for 10 days. In addition to lytic activity, IFN-γ production was assessed in the supernatant of each well; wells with IFN-γ levels of more than 0.05 ng/ml by ELISA were counted as positive. IFN-γ production was on average 13 (± 3.5) ng/ml in LCMV-NP396-stimulated cultures and 7.1 (± 3.1) ng/ml in PV-NP205–stimulated cultures. This experiment was repeated three times and mean values (± SEM) are displayed. *P < 0.05.

Figure 2

CD8 T cell populations specific for the mimicking epitope PV-NP205 are significantly expanded after sequential infection with PV. (A and B) RIP-LCMV-NP and RIP-LCMV-NP × Kb(−) mice were infected with 10⁶ PFU LCMV or PV. After 4 weeks, the mice received a secondary infection of either LCMV or PV. (A) Intracellular cytokine staining (ICCS) after stimulation with PV-NP205 is displayed for 1 representative mouse infected first with LCMV (LCMV alone) and then with PV (LCMV-PV) (mean frequencies are indicated in boxed areas). (B) The frequency of epitope-specific CD8 T cells in the blood was determined by ICCS for IFN-γ after stimulation with the indicated peptides (key) immediately before (upper panel) and 7 days after (lower panel) secondary infection. (C) Numbers of H-2Kb–restricted PV-NP205–specific lymphocytes after LCMV or PV infection, assessed by ICCS for IFN-γ. Splenocytes were harvested on day 35 from mice that received LCMV at day 0 (d0) and, for the PV group, PV at day 28 (d28). Means (± SEM) are displayed. (D) Lytic precursors after LCMV-NP396 versus PV-NP205 antigenic stimulation for 10 days. In addition to lytic activity, IFN-γ production was assessed in the supernatant of each well; wells with IFN-γ levels of more than 0.05 ng/ml by ELISA were counted as positive. IFN-γ production was on average 13 (± 3.5) ng/ml in LCMV-NP396-stimulated cultures and 7.1 (± 3.1) ng/ml in PV-NP205–stimulated cultures. This experiment was repeated three times and mean values (± SEM) are displayed. *P < 0.05.

and, more specifically, the target of the autoimmune response (the islets of Langerhans). Thus, we generated H-2Kb–PV-NP205 tetramers in attempt to perform in situ tetramer staining for PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells in quick-frozen pancreas sections, similar to what we previously reported for LCMV-GP33–specific CD8 T cells in the CNS (40). Histological examination using specific immunohistochemical staining for CD8 T cells and selective H-2Kb–PV-NP205 tetramer staining on tissue sections for NP205–specific CD8 T cells revealed that PV-NP205 CD8 T cells were present exclusively in islets of mice initially primed with LCMV and secondarily infected with PV. Between 1 and 3 PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells were found in about 50% of all islet sections analyzed. In contrast, none of the islet sections examined in mice infected with LCMV alone contained any PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells (Figure 3A, upper right panel). Quantification of our data indicated that a frequency of 2–4% of all infiltrating CD8 T cells were PV-NP205–specific in sequentially infected mice, which reflected the frequencies displayed in Figure 3B, assessed by flow cytometry in peripheral lymphoid organs, and corroborated frequencies of islet-infiltrating GP33–specific CD8 T cells observed both in the RIP-LCMV-GP fast-onset diabetes model (GP not expressed in thymus) using H-2Dd–LCMV-GP33 tetramers (D.B. McGavern, unpublished results) and in LCMV-mediated leptomeningitis (40).

The expansion of LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cell populations was also demonstrated by flow cytometry after staining with H-2Kb–PV-NP205 tetramers (Figure 3B) and intracellular cytokine staining for IFN-γ (Figure 3B, lower panels) in the blood as well as in the pancreatic draining lymph nodes (PDLNs) after sequential infection of transgenic mice with LCMV and PV. In particular, in the PDLNs, H-2Kb–PV-NP205 tetramer–CD8 T cell populations expanded to a frequency of 4% after secondary PV infection, compared with only 0.4% after a single LCMV infection (Figure 3B). Thus, if PV infection occurs after LCMV infection, a selective and considerable expansion of initially subdominant, lower-avidity LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cell populations is seen in the target organ and PDLNs.

Activation of LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cells through molecular mimicry is absolutely essential for acceleration of diabetes and does not occur in mice genetically deficient in H-2Kb and in mice that were tolerant to PV-NP205. Further evidence that LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cells were responsible for the acceleration of T1D was obtained from experiments using RIP-LCMV-NP mice bred onto a H-2Kb–deficient [Kb(−)] background (41). Because these mice still present the LCMV-NP396 epitope, which is H-2Dd–restricted, diabetes still occurred with the expected slower kinetics after a single LCMV infection (data not shown). However, sequential infection of these mice with LCMV followed by PV did not result in accelerated disease, which occurred as expected in RIP-LCMV-NP × H-2Kb–sufficient [Kb(±)] littermates (Figure 4A). Overall, the incidence of T1D in RIP-LCMV-NP × Kb(±) littermates was lower and its kinetics slower than in the original RIP-LCMV-NP line, because background genes introduced by the SV129 embry-
onic stem cells from knockout lines are known to protect from T1D in RIP-LCMV mice (42). In contrast to RIP-LCMV-NP × K\(^b\)(+) littermates, RIP-LCMV-NP × K\(^b\)(-) mice did not have accelerated T1D after secondary PV infection. In parallel with the lack of acceleration of diabetes in the RIP-LCMV-NP × K\(^b\)(-) mice, secondary infection with PV did not result in expansion of LCMV/PV-NP205-specific CD8 T cell populations (Figure 2B, right column) or in enhanced islet infiltration (Figure 4B).

Furthermore, RIP-LCMV-NP mice that were tolerized to the PV-NP205 epitope showed no acceleration of T1D after secondary infection with PV (Figure 4C). Tolerization to PV-NP205 was achieved by i.v. injection of PV-NP205-coated splenocytes that were cross-linked with 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide (EDCI) (43, 44). Mice that were treated with 2 × 10\(^7\) syngeneic EDCI–PV-NP205 cross-linked splenocytes 5 days before primary LCMV infection did not display accelerated T1D after subsequent infection with PV 1 month after infection with LCMV (Figure 4C). In contrast, mice that received splenocytes that were cross-linked with EDCI in absence of PV-NP205 displayed accelerated T1D after secondary infection as usual (Figure 4C). These experiments are consistent with the necessity of H-2K\(^b\)-binding peptides for disease acceleration in the RIP-LCMV-NP model.

LCMV/PV-NP205-specific cross-reactive CD8 T cell populations expand and accelerate disease in LCMV-immune mice challenged with PV-NP205 peptide and polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid. Final evidence that LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cells are responsible for the acceleration of T1D was obtained from experiments using RIP-LCMV-NP mice that were administered PV-NP205 peptide together with synthetic polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid [poly(I:C)], a “mimic” of double-stranded viral RNA (Figure 4, D and E). LCMV/PV-NP205-specific CD8 T cell populations were significantly expanded in LCMV-immune RIP-LCMV-NP mice that received 100 μg PV-NP205 peptide (i.p.) together with a single injection of 7.5 μg poly(I:C) per gram body mass (i.p.) at week 4 after LCMV infection (Figure 4D). The frequency of LCMV/PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells was much higher than after administration of the irrelevant H-2K\(^b\)-binding OVA peptide SIINFEKL followed by poly(I:C) or PV-NP205 alone and even exceeded the frequency observed when LCMV-immune mice received a secondary infection with PV (Figure 4D). As expected, T1D was accelerated in mice treated with PV-NP205 plus poly(I:C) in a way similar to that in mice that received a secondary PV infection (Figure 4E). Mice that were administered OVA peptide (SIINFEKL) and poly(I:C) had hyperglycemia (mean blood glucose value of 225 mg/dl) but no diabetes (Figure 4E). Furthermore, treatment with PV-NP205 alone did not accelerate diabetes (Figure 4E). These data demonstrate that in the proper inflammatory environment, the NP205 epitope that confers molecular mimicry between LCMV and PV is sufficient to accelerate autoimmune diabetes and requires no further assistance by other LCMV and/or PV epitopes.

**Discussion**

In this study we have provided evidence that the expansion of previously primed autoreactive T cell populations via heterologous virus infections and molecular mimicry can lead to the acceleration of autoimmune diabetes in prediabetic hosts but not to de novo induction of diabetes in naive mice. We have identified the cellular factors responsible for disease acceleration in the H-2\(^b\) RIP-LCMV-NP diabetes model and have shown that the mechanism involves the expansion/activation and participation in islet destruction of previously primed CD8 T cell populations specific for the subdominant NP205 epitope. Important for understanding the pathogenesis of human autoimmune diabetes are (a) our findings that molecular mimicry alone, in the context of arenavirus infection, is not likely to lead to autoimmune diabetes, unless islet inflammation is already present (prediabetic, ongoing disease state), and (b) the fact that heterologous viral infections can expand T cell populations with lower-avidity autoreactive specificities that are unable to initiate disease themselves after a single infection. With regards to the association of sequential viral infections with the pathogenesis of human T1D, our conclusions indicate the following possible scenario: a viral infection necessary for the initiation of T1D can be cleared prior to a second virus infection mimicking an autoantigen. Such a sequential infection(s) can occur after a relative long latency period. It is important to note that the clinical manifestation of T1D requires more than 90% of all β cells to be destroyed, whereas the clinical manifestation of CNS disease (manuscript submitted for publication) occurs at comparably much lower degrees of destruction. This might explain why virally expressed mimics cannot precipitate diabetes in naive mice, whereas they can cause significant damage in a model of virally induced CNS inflammatory disease (manuscript submitted for publication).

What are the implications of our observations for the role of molecular mimicry in autoimmune disease? Previously published findings in our model systems indicate that LCMV-GP33–specific CD8 T cells that are of comparable avidity to LCMV/PV-NP205–specific cross-reactive CD8 T cells can induce disease; thus, avidity alone does not determine the ability of an epitope to initiate autoimmune diabetes. One must additionally consider that there is a critical threshold number of autoreactive lymphocytes required (32), short of which clinical disease cannot develop. Therefore, the cross-reactive immune response to an autoanti-

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCMV (day 0) + PV (day 42)</th>
<th>Specific (^{51}Cr) release from H-2(^b) targets infected with:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E:T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6J (day 7 after PV)</td>
<td>50:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIP-LCMV-NP (day 7 after PV)</td>
<td>50:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIP-LCMV-NP (day 28 after PV)</td>
<td>5:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 wk stimulation on LCMV APCs</td>
<td>5:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIP-LCMV-NP (day 28 after PV)</td>
<td>2 wk stimulation on PV APCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCMV alone</td>
<td>50:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV alone</td>
<td>50:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary ex vivo cytotoxic T lymphocyte activities were determined 7 days after LCMV or PV infection as described in Methods. Secondary in vitro stimulation was performed where indicated using LCMV- or PV-infected irradiated APCs. E:T, effector/target; T1D dev., development of T1D; ND, not determined.
Sequential infection with LCMV and PV results in accumulation of PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells in the islets of Langerhans. (A) RIP-NP mice were infected with 10^5 PFU LCMV. After 4 weeks, one group of mice received a secondary infection of PV (10^6 PFU, i.p.). Left panels, pancreata were harvested at week 3 after secondary infection and 6-μm tissue sections were stained for cellular infiltration with a monoclonal antibody against CD8. Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. Right panels, pancreata were harvested at day 5 after secondary infection and 6-μm tissue sections were cut and were stained for CD8 T cells with rhodamine X–conjugated anti-CD8 (red) and for PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells with allophycocyanin-conjugated H-2K^d–PV-NP205 tetramers (green). Note that only after sequential infection with LCMV followed by PV are PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells lymphocytes (yellow) found in the islets of Langerhans. Original magnification, ×20. (B) Expansion of PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cell populations in blood and pancreatic lymph nodes after secondary PV infection. Upper panels, flow cytometry of PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells in the blood of LCMV-immune mice that did or did not receive secondary infection with PV, as detected by H-2K^d–PV-NP205 tetramers; mean frequencies are indicated in boxed areas. Lower panel, frequencies of PV-NP205–specific CD8 T cells were determined by flow cytometry using H-2K^d–PV-NP205 tetramer staining and by ICCS for IFN-γ expression after 5 hours of in vitro stimulation with PV-NP205 peptide.

develop diabetes, in contrast to mice infected with LCMV-Arm (32). The LCMV-Past mimic epitope, like PV-NP205, was found to be of low avidity compared with the wild-type epitope. Taken together, those previous results and our observations here indicate that the important factors in determining the ability to initiate autoimmune pathology are epitope avidity and numbers of CD8 T cells induced. Molecular mimicry appears therefore less likely to precipitate autoimmune diabetes de novo in healthy individuals with no preexisting islet damage (Figure 1).

Alternatively, as shown here, CD8 T cells specific for mimic foreign or self ligand that are incapable of initiating disease by themselves may become dangerous if they encounter a “fertile field” of ongoing inflammatory responses (28). Such a scenario can be envisioned in the presence of a persistent infection in which a chronic inflammatory milieu drives the activation and expansion of cross-reactive T cell populations, as in animal models of murine herpesvirus 1–induced (HSV-1–induced) herpes stromal keratitis (HSK) (25) and in recombinant Thiel murine encephalomyelitis virus–induced (TMEV-induced) demyelination (20). Indeed, infection of mice with a HSV-1 mutant virus with a single amino acid change in the UL6 protein of HSV-1 affecting the putative mimicry epitope failed to induce HSK. However, the mutant HSV-1 was able to induce disease in predisposed mice that received CD4 T cells from donors infected with wild-type virus (25). In the TMEV model, recent data suggest that a molecular mimic of an encephalitogenic myelin proteolipid epitope, when expressed by an engineered TMEV, can both initiate CNS autoimmune disease and exacerbate a previously established disease (manuscript submitted for publication). However, our model differs significantly from those two other experimental systems in that diabetes manifestation requires destruction of around 90% of β cells. In contrast to MS, we have demonstrated for diabetes that the enhancement of disease requires at least two consecutive acute viral infections that can be nonpersistent. In our case, LCMV-NP396–specific CD8 T cells (Figure 2) were essential for the precipitation of disease (Figure 1) and contributed to providing an inflammatory milieu in
the islets for subsequently arising PV-activated LCMV/PV-NP205-specific cross-reactive CD8 T cells during the prediabetic phase. Indeed, in support of this argument, the reverse scenario in which PV was either given twice (Figure 3) or given as a first infection followed by infection with LCMV (data not shown), resulting in significant numbers of activated LCMV/PV-NP205 but not LCMV-NP396-specific CD8 T cells, respectively, did not result in accelerated diabetes. Earlier studies with the RIP-LCMV model suggest that transient infection of the pancreas with LCMV is likely to be important for the subsequent accumulation of autoreactive CD8 T cells and β-cell damage. In agreement with this is the observation that LCMV-NP-specific lymphocyte lines that have been grown in vitro and transferred into RIP-LCMV-NP recipient mice were able to traffic to the target organ unless the co-stimulator molecule B7.1 is coexpressed on APCs, mimicking APC activation (38). Similarly, a recent study by Darabi et al. demonstrated that myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein–specific Th1 cells can elicit autoimmune pathology in the CNS only when one or multiple secondary antigenic encounters that change the infectious history of a patient is of growing importance in defining agents that potentially can induce autoimmunity or push a preexisting autoimmune condition toward clinical disease. The primary triggering event, which might by itself be insufficient to cause clinically evident disease, would be followed by one or multiple secondary antigenic encounters that change the established T cell hierarchy. As a consequence, autoreactive T cells accumulate and become activated until they reach a critical mass and rapidly destroy enough cells or tissue, leading to clinical disease. Molecular mimicry could be involved in some or all of the above steps. Coupled with a certain genetic predisposition and possibly other unrelated inflammatory events of the target organ, molecular mimicry can thus be a highly adverse and disadvantageous event, even if no disease and only subclinical autoimmunity would result in otherwise healthy, nonpredisposed individuals. Future studies should investigate whether there is a significant time-wise association between critical infections and the development of clinical signs of autoimmune disease. Factors such as time and pattern of (heterologous) infections might account for the controversial association of T1D and rotavirus infections (15, 16). Additionally, because autoreactive lymphocytes frequently exhibit an activated phenotype in patients but...
not healthy controls, these cells should be evaluated selectively for their ability to cross-react with microbial ligand candidates. Ideally, appropriate searches will take conformational as well as sequential homologies into account. Possibly the prevention of certain infections found to present self-antigenic mimics or therapies aimed at skewing the T cell hierarchy away from a known autoimmune predisposition could in this way decrease the incidence of autoimmunity.

**Methods**

**Transgenic mouse lines.** The mouse line expressing the LCMV-NP (transgenic founder line RIP-NP 25–3) was generated in H-2b C57BL/6-J and H-2d BALB/c mice using the RIP vector and cDNA clones for LCMV-NP and -GP. RIP-NP 25–3 mice expressed the transgene in both their pancreatic β cells and their thymus but not in other tissues (29). Screening was done by PCR as described previously (29). K(–) mice were obtained from B. Perarnau and F.A. Lemonnier (Departement SIDA-Retrovirus, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France) (49). RIP-LCMV-NP × K(–) mice were screened for H-2Kb deficiency by flow cytometry using phycoerythrin-conjugated anti-H-2Kb (BD Biosciences — Pharmingen). The study was approved by the La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology Animal Care Committee.

**Viruses.** LCMV-Arm clone 53b and LCMV-Arm-Var (33) were plaque-purified three times on Vero cells and stocks were prepared by a single passage on BHK-21 cells. Six- to ten-week-old mice were infected i.p. with a single dose of 10^5 PFU (29). PV was kindly provided by M.J. Buchmeier (The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA) (50) and was injected at a dose of 10^5 PFU per mouse.

**Blood glucose values.** Blood glucose was monitored at weekly intervals with the blood glucose monitoring system OneTouch Ultra (LifeScan Inc.). Diabetes was defined as a blood glucose values of more than 300 mg/dl (38).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Tissues were immersed in Tissue-Tek OCT (Bayer) and were quick-frozen on dry ice. Using cryomicrotome and sialin-coated Superfrost Plus slides (Fisher Scientific), 6- to 10-μm tissue sections were cut. Sections were then fixed with 90% ethanol at –20°C and, after sections were washed in PBS, incubated with an avidin/biotin blocking kit (Vector Laboratories). Primary and biotinylated secondary antibodies (Vector Laboratories) were incubated for 60 minutes each, and color reaction was obtained by sequential incubation with avidin-peroxidase conjugate (Vector Laboratories) and diaminobenzidine-hydrogen peroxide. Primary antibodies used was goat anti-rabbit CD8α (Ly2; BD Biosciences — Pharmingen).

**CTL assays.** Lytic activity of LCMV-specific CTLs was measured in a standard 5-hour in vitro 51Cr-release assay using syngeneic (MC57, H-2b) target cells infected with LCMV (MOI = 0.1). Secondary CTL assays were performed after splenocyte restimulation for 5–6 days with irradiated, syngeneic, LCMV-infected peritoneal exudate cells (PECs) (51).

**Flow cytometry.** For intracellular stains, single-cell suspensions were restimulated for 5 hours with 1 μg/ml HMC class I–restricted viral peptides in the presence of brefeldin A. Cells were stained for surface expression of CD4 and CD8, fixed, permeobilized, and stained for intracellular IFN-γ (antibodies were obtained from BD Biosciences — Pharmingen). Samples were acquired using a FACSCalibur (BD).

**In vitro restimulation.** For LCMV-specific responses, memory splenocytes were cultured for 6–10 days on LCMV-infected, irradiated APCs (peritoneal exudate macrophages from H-2b mice). After culture, viable cells were collected and cells were incubated for 5 hours with peptide in the presence of brefeldin A before being stained for intracellular IFN-γ (38).

**Precursor frequency analysis.** For precursor frequency analysis, spleen cells were harvested on day 60 after primary LCMV infection. These cells were serially diluted and were cultivated in 96-well flat-bottomed plates in the presence of T cell growth factor and syngeneic, irradiated, LCMV-infected (10^5 PFU/ml) spleen cells (10^5 cells/well). After 5–9 days, each well was assayed for CTL lysis (described above) on target cells that were left uninfected or were infected with LCMV. The fraction of positive cultures (lysis > 1%) was determined for each dilution (38).

**In situ tetramer stains.** Briefly, fresh frozen sections 6 μm in thickness were cut from the organs of interest and were stained with a phycoerythrin-labeled MHC class I tetramer (1.0 μg/ml) with 2% normal goat serum (NGS) and rat anti-CD8e (0.5 μg/ml). Staining with tetramer containing an irrelevant peptide was used as a negative control. After an overnight incubation at 4°C, sections were washed in PBS and then fixed for 30 minutes at room temperature with PBS-buffered 2% formaldehyde. Sections were washed again in PBS and were incubated for 3 hours at 4°C with polyclonal rabbit anti-phycoerythrin diluted 1:250 in PBS with 2% NGS. Afterward, sections were washed and were incubated for 3 hours at 4°C with rhodamine red X-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit and FITC-conjugated goat anti-rat diluted 1:1,000 in PBS with 2% NGS. Rhodamine red X-positive and FITC-positive T lymphocytes were analyzed with a confocal microscope (40).

**EDCI tolerization.** Splenocytes were isolated from C57BL/6 mice and were incubated for 1 hour on ice at a concentration of 3.2 × 10^5 cells/ml with 1 mg NP_205 peptide in presence of 30 mg/ml EDCI (Sigma-Aldrich) (43, 44). Cross-linked splenocytes were washed and 2 × 10^6 cells were injected retro-orbitally into recipient mice. Primary infection with 10^6 PFU LCMV-Arm was at day 5 after adoptive transfer. At week 4 after LCMV infection, mice received a secondary infection with 10^6 PFU PV.

**Poly(I:C) treatment.** Mice were infected with 10^6 PFU LCMV. At week 4 after infection, mice received a single dose (i.p.) of 100 μg NP_205 peptide or the H-2Kb–binding control OVA peptide (SIINFEKL). At the same time, mice received 7.5 μg/g body mass of the synthetic double-stranded viral RNA mimic poly(I:C).
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