Estimation of the net acid load of the diet of ancestral preagricultural *Homo sapiens* and their hominid ancestors
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Natural selection has had <1% of hominid evolutionary time to eliminate the inevitable maladaptations consequent to the profound transformation of the human diet resulting from the inventions of agriculture and animal husbandry.

**Objective:** The objective was to estimate the net systemic load of acid (net endogenous acid production; NEAP) from retrojected ancestral preagricultural diets and to compare it with that of contemporary diets, which are characterized by an imbalance of nutrient precursors of hydrogen and bicarbonate ions that induces a lifelong, low-grade, pathogenically significant systemic metabolic acidosis.

**Design:** Using established computational methods, we computed NEAP for a large number of retrojected ancestral preagricultural diets and compared them with computed and measured values for typical American diets.

**Results:** The mean (±SD) NEAP for 159 retrojected preagricultural diets was −88 ± 82 mEq/d; 87% were net base-producing. The computational model predicted NEAP for the average American diet (as recorded in the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey) as 48 mEq/d, within a few percentage points of published measured values for free-living Americans; the model, therefore, was not biased toward generating negative NEAP values. The historical shift from negative to positive NEAP was accounted for by the displacement of high-bicarbonate-yielding plant foods in the ancestral diet by cereal grains and energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods in the contemporary diet—neither of which are net base-producing.

**Conclusions:** The findings suggest that diet-induced metabolic acidosis and its sequelae in humans eating contemporary diets reflect a mismatch between the nutrient composition of the diet and genetically determined nutritional requirements for optimal systemic acid-base status. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002;76:1308–13.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The nutritional requirements of *Homo sapiens*—the only extant species of the 5–7-million-year-old hominid family and its most recently evolved member (<200 000 y old)—were established by natural selection during millions of years in which its hominid ancestors, including earlier *Homo* species, consumed foods exclusively from a menu of wild animals and uncultivated plants (1–3). The profound transformation of the ancestral diet 10 000 y ago resulting from the inventions of agriculture and animal husbandry and, more recently, by industrial-scale food production and distribution technologies has provided natural selection an enormous challenge to eliminate the inevitable resulting maladaptations but has afforded it too little time—<1% of hominid evolutionary time—to do so (1, 3–6).

In comparison with the diet habitually ingested by preagricultural *Homo sapiens* living in the Upper Paleolithic period (40 000–10 000 y ago), the diet of contemporary *Homo sapiens* is rich in saturated fat, simple sugars, sodium, and chloride and poor in fiber, magnesium, and potassium (1, 2). These and numerous other postagricultural dietary compositional changes have been implicated as risk factors in the pathogenesis of “diseases of civilization,” including atherosclerosis, hypertension, type 2 diabetes, osteoporosis, and certain types of cancer (7–13). One characteristic of the contemporary human diet for which no quantitative comparison has been made with the inferred ancestral preagricultural diet is its imbalance of nutrient precursors of hydrogen and bicarbonate ions, resulting in the body’s net production of noncarbonic acid, ranging over an order of magnitude from 10 to 150 mEq/d among diets (14–17). Although multiple homeostatic mechanisms operate to mitigate the resulting deviations in systemic acid-base equilibrium, on average, blood acidity remains increased and plasma bicarbonate concentrations decreased in proportion to the magnitude of the daily net acid load (15, 16). Increasing evidence has been adduced that suggests that such persisting, albeit low-grade, acidosis, and the relentless operation of responding homeostatic mechanisms, result in numerous injurious effects on the body, including dissolution of bone, muscle wasting, kidney stone formation, and damage to the kidney (18–23).

In this article we report estimates of net endogenous acid production (NEAP)—the net acid load of the diet—for 159 retrojected prehistoric preagricultural diets of *Homo sapiens* and their hominid ancestors. In contrast with the characteristically net acid-producing contemporary diet, most such retrojected ancestral diets were net base-producing, and we detail the characteristics that made them so.
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The effects of diet on acid-base metabolism in humans are sufficiently well established to permit quantitative estimations of NEAP from knowledge of the types of foods and their amounts consumed. Likewise, considerable progress has been made in retrofitting the types of foods and the boundary limits on their amounts consumed by ancestral preagricultural humans and their hominid ancestors (1, 2, 27). Accordingly, it is possible to estimate NEAP for inferred ancestral preagricultural diets.

Strategies for diet selection

In estimating NEAP for the ancestral hominid diet, we initially followed the guidelines of Eaton and Konner (1) regarding which food groups were habitually ingested by preagricultural Homo sapiens living in the Upper Paleolithic Period, the ratio of animal to plant foods consumed, and total dietary energy intake. Their retrospective diet contained (by wt) 35% lean meats (wild game) and 65% plant foods, for a total energy intake of 12,552 kJ/d (3000 kcal/d) (1). From the reported energy content per gram of wild game [5.90 kJ/g (1.41 kcal/g)] and plants [5.40 kJ/g (1.29 kcal/g)] (1), it was calculated that game made up 37% and plants made up 63% of the total daily energy intake in the Paleolithic diet.

However, there is no consensus among paleoanthropologists as to the norm of animal-to-plant subsistence ratios for preagricultural Homo sapiens or their hominid ancestors (28–34). It has been argued that plant foods dominated the diet throughout the longer period of human evolution (28, 35), that hominids did not become successful hunters of large game until the Middle to Upper Paleolithic Period (34), and that the evolutionarily late big-game hunter-gatherer phase of human experience little affected long-established human nutrient requirements (32). We take no position on the different interpretations. Rather, in computing NEAP for the presumed ancestral preagricultural diet, we initially targeted a diet containing 35% of energy as meat and 65% as plant food (Table 1), approximating Eaton and...
TABLE 2  
Effect of the ratio of animal-food energy intake to plant-food energy intake (animal-to-plant energy ratio) on net endogenous acid production (NEAP) for ancestral preagricultural Paleolithic diets with different animal-food fat densities and equal distribution of plant-food energy among plant-food groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal-to-plant energy ratio</th>
<th>Animal fat content</th>
<th>Sulfuric acid</th>
<th>Organic acid</th>
<th>Bicarbonate</th>
<th>NEAP</th>
<th>Protein intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of animal energy</td>
<td>mEq/d</td>
<td>mEq/d</td>
<td>g/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleolithic diet (animal-fat content = 26% of animal-food energy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35%:65%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>−78</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%:70%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>−101</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%:75%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>−125</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%:80%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>−148</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%:85%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>−171</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%:90%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>−195</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%:95%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>−218</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleolithic diet (animal-fat content = 46%–63% of animal-food energy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%:40%</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>−9</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55%:45%</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%:50%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%:50%</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45%:55%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>−70</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%:60%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35%:65%</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>−97</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%:70%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>−121</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%:75%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>−144</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%:80%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>−144</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%:80%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>−144</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%:85%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>−201</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%:90%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>−201</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%:95%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>−222</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Percentages of daily energy intake.
2 The sum of the endogenous production rates of sulfuric and organic acids minus that of bicarbonate; see Methods for details of the computational model.

Konner’s proposed subsistence ratio (1, 2). Then, for comparative purposes, we recalculated NEAP for diets with descending ratios of animal-food energy intakes to plant-food energy intakes (animal-to-plant energy ratios) of from 35% of energy as meat and 65% as plant food (35%:65%) to 5%:95% (Table 2).

If the animal foods in the Paleolithic diet were lean, as expected for wild game flesh, diets with animal-to-plant energy ratios > 35%:65% would have been intolerable because total protein intakes would have exceeded the body’s ability to prevent serious toxicity from nitrogenous metabolites (37). Diets with animal-to-plant energy ratios as high (or as low) as 65%:35%, however, have been tolerated by many historically observed hunter-gatherer societies, presumably because the animal foods consumed had a lower protein-to-fat ratio (ie, higher fat density) than lean meat (27, 33). Therefore, we also estimated NEAP for diets with animal-to-plant energy ratios up to 60%:40%, incorporating substantially higher animal fat densities (46–63% compared with 26% of animal-food energy as fat) (Tables 1 and 2).

For a fixed daily energy intake and any selected animal protein intake, increasing the fat energy content of the animal food (eg, 46–63% compared with 26% of the animal food’s energy content) influences the computation of NEAP by reducing the fraction of the daily energy available for plant-food consumption. Accordingly, to quantify the effect of increasing animal fat density we assigned the desired preempting fat energy as “animal fat additional” and subtracted that energy value from the amount allotted for plant-food consumption (Table 1).

In apportioning plant-food energy among plant-food categories for a given animal-to-plant energy ratio, we adopted 2 strategies. In the first strategy, all the plant foods in the database (see below) were segregated into 6 categories, and plant-food energy was apportioned equally among them. With this strategy we examined the effects of various combinations of animal-to-plant energy ratios (n = 22 scenarios) (Tables 1 and 2). In the second strategy, all the plant foods in the database were segregated into 4 categories, and plant-food energy was apportioned variably among them. With this strategy we examined the effects of different plant-food distributions; each food group was apportioned as 100%, 67%, 50%, 33%, 17%, and 0% of total plant-food energy, for a total of 38 plant-food distribution scenarios (Table 3). We ran the computational model for these 38 distribution ratios for each of 4 animal-to-plant food and animal fat density combinations, generating a total of 152 additional ancestral preagricultural diet scenarios (Table 3) over and above the 22 with equal apportionments among the plant-food categories (Tables 1 and 2). The 2 strategies combined thus generated 175 hypothetical ancestral preagricultural diet scenarios. For all calculations, unless otherwise specified, the combined energy intake from animal and plant foods was maintained at 12 552 kJ/d (3000 kcal/d).

Diet database

For the primary data, we assembled a nutrient database of 53 food items from among the major food groups most likely to have been consumed by Paleolithic humans: 9 lean meats (including 4 wild game meats) and 44 plant foods assigned either to 6 groups (roots, nuts, tubers, fruit, leafy green vegetables, and vegetable fruit) or to 4 groups (roots and tubers, leafy green vegetables, nuts, and fruit) depending on which of the 2 diet-selection strategies were being implemented (see above). When used, the category
“vegetable fruit” included fruit that is more commonly referred to as a vegetable, such as tomatoes, pumpkin, zucchini, cucumbers, eggplant, and okra. Cereal grains and legumes were excluded because of their late (mostly postagricultural) incorporation into the human diet (38–40). Eligible food items were selected if separated fats, refined sugars, and vegetable oils (44), the latter of which were considered protein- and mineral-free for purposes of computing NEAP (Table 1).

The complete nutrient composition profiles necessary for computing net acid load, including the content of chloride and the sulfur-containing amino acids, were unavailable for wild plant foods. Although the protein content and the content of certain minerals in some comparable wild and cultivated plant-food groups differ (43), the magnitude of these differences is too small to have a major effect on the net acid load from these food groups.

Computing NEAP for the contemporary diet required expanding the database to include dairy foods, eggs, cereal grains, and a food group of energy-dense, nutrient-poor (EDNP) foods (41).
Basis for the computational model

On a daily basis, NEAP can be computed from the sum of the production rates of sulfuric acid (resulting from the metabolism of dietary sulfur-containing amino acids) and organic acids (resulting from incomplete combustion of carbohydrate and fat) minus that of bicarbonate (resulting from the combustion of dietary organic acid salts of potassium and magnesium) (14), all of which can be computed from the nutrient composition of individual foods. Sulfuric acid and bicarbonate yields can be determined individually for each food item in the diet, the former from the sulfur content calculated from cystine and methionine (42) and the latter with use of the method of Remer and Manz (25), which is based on each item’s content of major inorganic cations and anions and published data on the average fractional intestinal absorption of each of nutrient. The difference between the major inorganic cation and anion contents (in mEq, corrected for intestinal absorption), typically a positive value, reflects the amount of unmeasured organic acid salts available to the body for metabolism to bicarbonate and hence reflects the potential systemic bicarbonate (base) load from the food item. Rates of sulfuric acid and bicarbonate production for the entire diet can then be calculated either as the sum of the values for the individual foods or, after assignment of the individual foods to food groups, as the sum of the average values for the food groups. We used the latter procedure in the present analysis (Table 1). A single value for organic acid production for the entire diet can be computed from the total unmeasured anion content of the diet, as per the method of Kleinman and Lemann (36).

Details of the computational model

Computations were based on the model of Remer and Manz (24, 25), which was validated by measuring steady state renal net acid excretion rates (RNAEs) in subjects consuming different protein intakes (24). RNAE correlates linearly and positively with independent measured NEAP (r = 0.94), with a mean difference (measured NEAP − measured RNAE) of −1 ± 12 mEq/d (14). The absolute differences between computed NEAP and NEAP estimated from RNAE were similarly small (3–11 mEq/d) (24). We refined the model slightly, as described above, to account for differences in the sulfur content of proteins among foods and for the effect of the diet’s unmeasured anion content on endogenous organic acid production contributing to NEAP (36). Remer and Manz assumed that there was no difference in the sulfur content among food proteins and that body organic acid production was independent of diet composition.

The potential sulfuric acid yield from a food item’s protein content (in g/100 g edible portion) was calculated assuming that the fractional intestinal absorption of protein is 0.75 (24, 25) and that there was complete metabolism of the intestinally absorbed protein’s cystine and methionine sulfur content to sulfuric acid. Factoring by the energy content per 100 g edible portion, the result (expressed in mEq/1000 KJ) is referred to as the food item’s potential sulfuric acid yield (Table 1). The cystine and methionine contents were obtained from the US Department of Agriculture database (42).

The potential bicarbonate yield from a food item’s organic acid salts was computed from that food item’s major inorganic ion composition as follows:

\[
0.95 \times [Na^+] + 0.80 \times [K^+] + 0.25 \\
\times [Ca^{2+}] + 0.32 \times [Mg^{2+}] \\
- 0.95 \times [Cl^-] - 0.63 \times [P_i]
\]

where the coefficients indicate average fractional intestinal absorption of the ion, ion concentrations are in mEq/100 g edible portion, and the valence of inorganic phosphorus (P_i) is taken as 1.8 (24, 25). Factoring by energy content per 100 g edible portion, the result, expressed in mEq/1000 KJ, is referred to as the food item’s potential bicarbonate yield (Table 1).

The fraction of endogenous organic acid production that contributes to NEAP is quantifiable as the daily urinary excretion rate of organic anions (14). Organic anions that are not excreted yield bicarbonate on metabolism, which back-titrates the protons released during organic acid generation and, hence, do not contribute to NEAP. Because organic anion excretion (mEq/d) is predictable from the unmeasured anion content (mEq/d) of the diet (36), it is possible to estimate endogenous organic acid production from the composition of the diet:

\[
\text{Diet organic anion excretion} = 32.9 + 0.15 \\
\times \text{diet unmeasured anion content}
\]

where the unmeasured anion content is taken as Na^+ + K^+ + Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} − Cl^- − P_i, each expressed as mEq/d, with the valence of P_i taken as 1.8. An equivalent procedure is to allot 15% of the value of each diet food item as its contribution to systemic organic acid production, sum the contributions of the individual items, and add 32.9. When the diet is defined by food group (eg, meat or fruit), the computation is performed with the unmeasured anion content of each food group averaged over a representative sample of food items in each group, which is the method we used in the present analysis (Table 1).

RESULTS

Scenarios with equal distributions of plant-food energy among plant-food groups

For a preagricultural diet consisting of 35% meat and 65% plant foods and an animal-food fat density of 26%, the computational model yielded a negative NEAP value: −78 mEq/d (Table 1). This net base load of the aggregate diet reflected bicarbonate production rates exceeding the sum of sulfuric and organic acid production rates from 5 of the 6 plant-food groups (vegetable fruit, tubers, roots, leafy green vegetables, and fruit). The sixth plant-food group, nuts, was essentially acid-base neutral. Meat was the only net acid-producing food group. When meat was incrementally reduced from 35% to 5% of total energy, bicarbonate production rates increasingly predominated, and NEAP progressively decreased to −218 mEq/d (Table 2).

Similarly, for a preagricultural diet consisting of 55% meat and 45% plant foods and an animal-food fat density of 53%, the computational model yielded a negative NEAP value: −23 mEq/d (Table 1). When meat was incrementally reduced from 55% to 5% of total energy, bicarbonate production rates again increasingly predominated, and NEAP progressively decreased to −222 mEq/d (Table 2). The highest NEAP observed in any scenario was −9 mEq/d, when the animal-to-plant energy ratio was 60%:40% at an animal fat density of 57% (Table 2).

Scenarios with unequal distributions of plant-food energy among plant-food groups

The computations for these additional 152 diet scenarios are summarized in Table 3. Fifteen scenarios (11%) were rejected because their corresponding protein intakes exceeded physiologic limits (> 275 g/d). Although some of the remaining 137 scenarios are likely nonrepresentative of major ancestral subsistence scenarios, we believe the range of scenarios will encompass the
The average NEAP among the 137 diets was 88 ± 82 mEq/d, adding further weight to the likelihood that ancestral preagricultural diets were net base-producing on average.

Testing for bias in the computational model

To test whether the computational model is biased toward generating negative NEAP values, we applied the model to an average American diet, which is known to be net acid-producing (16, 17, 36). The diet consisted of average amounts of each of the 10 food groups consumed by Americans, as reported in the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) (44, 50), the most recent of these US surveys (Table 1). For a reported average daily energy intake of 8983 kJ (2147 kcal), the model yielded a NEAP value of 48 mEq/d (Table 1), a value remarkably similar to the average NEAP of free-living healthy American adults as estimated from their renal net acid excretion rates: 49 ± 18 mEq/d (17) and 43 ± 19 mEq/d (51). The computational model is therefore not biased toward negative NEAP values and closely predicts the observed average value of the substantial positive net acid load from the American diet.

DISCUSSION

These findings suggest that in making the transition, ≈10 000 y ago, from a preagricultural hunter-gatherer diet to the modern agricultural-based diet, the human species crossed the neutral zone with respect to NEAP, switching from net base to net acid production. That change was due entirely to a reduction in endogenous bicarbonate production rates (Table 1). Although both sulfuric acid and organic acid production rates are lower in the contemporary diet than in the preagricultural diet, bicarbonate production rates are disproportionately lower (Tables 1 and 2), thereby tipping the balance from net base to net acid production. This overriding reduction in bicarbonate production is due to the displacement of base-rich plant-food groups (roots, tubers, leafy green vegetables, vegetable fruit, and fruit) by cereal grains and EDNP foods (eg, refined sugars and separated fats), neither of which food group is net base-producing; therefore, neither of which could contribute to counterbalancing the acid produced from net acid-producing animal food groups in the contemporary diet (meat, cheese, milk and yogurt, and eggs) (Table 1). Indeed, cereal grains themselves are net acid-producing and alone accounted for that, we quickly discovered that most of the information in the literature was not specific enough to define a diet for each society that together incorporated all 3 components needed to estimate NEAP: 1) animal-to-plant energy ratio, 2) animal-fat energy density, and 3) distribution of plant-food energies among plant-food groups. It was necessary to make numerous guesses and to define a variety of menus for each society to incorporate likely variations in those components, which essentially duplicated the strategies we used, described above, to encompass the range of possible ancestral preagricultural diets. Nevertheless, in surveying the literature, we noted that the descriptions of diets of many hunter-gatherer societies can be matched to the net base-producing diet scenarios listed in Table 3 and thus serve as precedent for habitual consumption of net base-producing diets by ancestral humans. These included the !Kade San (45, 46) and the Western Desert Australian Aborigine (47). Likewise, the descriptions of diets of many primitive horticultural societies, such as the Kitavans in the Trobriand Islands (48), who habitually consume mostly tubers and fruit and other nongrain plant foods, and the Yanomamo of the Amazon Forest (49), who cultivate plantains (a type of banana) and hunt wild game, can be matched to the net base-producing diet scenarios listed in Table 3.

**FIGURE 1.** Effect of 159 different retrojected ancestral preagricultural diets on net endogenous acid production (NEAP). Each vertical bar represents a different diet, shown in ascending order of NEAP. The mean (±SD) NEAP for the 159 retrojected preagricultural diets was 88 ± 82 mEq/d. Details of the composition of the diets are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

**Combined results of all preagricultural scenarios**

Combining the results of the 2 diet-selection strategies yielded 159 (22 + 137) hypothetical ancestral preagricultural diets within acceptable total protein tolerances (Tables 2 and 3). Of these, 87% (139/159) were net base-producing by the computational model (Figure 1). Thus, in contrast with the known positive NEAP for contemporary diets (14–17, 24, 36), the vast majority (87%) of retrojected ancestral preagricultural diets were net base-producing. The mean (±SD) NEAP for all 159 diets computed was 88 ± 82 mEq/d.

**Application of the computational model to modern preagricultural hunter-gatherer and primitive nongrain horticultural societies**

Considerable information has been published on the dietary patterns of modern hunter-gatherer societies, so it seemed reasonable to try to apply the computational model in selected cases, in particular to see whether a net base-producing diet might even be a possibility as a habitual diet for any such society. As we began to do that, we quickly discovered that most of the information in...
for 38% of the acid load yielded by the combined net acid-pro-
ducing food groups in the contemporary diet (Table 1).

The quantitative net acid-producing effect of substituting cereal
gains for other plant-food groups can be particularly appreciated
by applying the computational model to the 2 prototypical preagri-
cultural diets outlined in Table 1 in the circumstance in which cereal
gains are substituted as the only plant food. In the first diet (animal-
to-plant energy ratio: 35%/65%; animal-food energy; 26%), the sub-
stitution resulted in an increase in NEAP from −78 to 147 mEq/d.
In the second diet (animal-to-plant energy ratio: 55%/45%; animal-
food energy: 53%), the substitution resulted in an increase in NEAP
from −23 to 132 mEq/d. That is, in both cases, substituting cereal
gains for the other plant-food groups in the preagricultural diet con-
verts the diet from a net base-producing to a net acid-producing one.

The computational model also permitted us to examine the
effect of removing cereal grains from the contemporary diet. On
the basis of the NHANES III survey (Table 1), apportioning
cereal-grain energy to the 4 nongrain plant-food groups (nuts,
beans, vegetables, and fruit), in proportion to their relative energy
contributions to the diet, would decrease NEAP in the contempo-
dary diet from 48 to −4 mEq/d, a value similar to the neutral point
but would not convert the diet to a decidedly net-base-producing
one. That is, eliminating cereal grains alone and proportionately
increasing the remaining plant foods is not sufficient to convert
the contemporary diet to a substantial net base-producing one.
This finding highlights the fact that it is the combined effect of
substituting cereal grains and EDNP foods for nongrain plant-food
groups that accounts for the transition from a substantial net base-
producing diet to a net acid-producing one in the switch from a
pre- to a postagricultural diet. Apportioning cereal grain and
EDNP food group energies in the contemporary diet to the 4 nongrain
plant-food groups in the diet, again in proportion to their rel-
ative energy contributions to the diet, converts the diet from a net
acid- to a substantial net base-producing one, decreasing NEAP
from 48 to −53 mEq/d; the latter value falls well within the range
of estimated values for preagricultural diets, as shown in Table 2.

It should be emphasized that, for the contemporary diet, both
the neutralizing effect of replacing cereal grains with nongrain plant-food
groups and the net base-producing effect of replacing both cereal
gains and EDNP foods with nongrain plant-food groups were com-
puted without changing the amounts of the net acid-producing animal
foods in the diet (meat, cheese, milk and yogurt, and eggs). Indeed,
animal food intake could increase considerably under these circum-
stances without conversion of the diet to a net acid-producing one.

If a net base-producing diet was the norm throughout most of
hominid evolution, it can be assumed that human metabolic
machinery and integrated organ physiology is genetically adapted
to an endogenous net base load on average (1–3). Thus, in con-
sidering the lifelong effect of the habitual ingestion of contempo-
dary diets, it may be necessary to consider not only the negative
effects incurred by their imposed chronic net acid load but also
the potential positive effects no longer realized because of their
failure to supply a chronic net base load.

Heretofore, the potential biological benefits from a lifelong
dietary net base load and its attendant systemic metabolic alkalin-
izing effects have not been considered by paleoanthropologists,
nutritionists, physiologists, or clinicians. Extrapolating from the
limited current knowledge of the metabolic and physiologic effects
of base loading, testable potential benefits of a chronic net base-
producing diet for which plausible mechanistic rationales can be
given include preventing and treating osteoporosis (52), age-related
muscle wasting (22), calcium nephrolithiasis (17, 53, 54), and
sodium chloride–sensitive hypertension (55); improving exercise
performance (56); treating infertility (57, 58); and slowing the
progression of age-related and disease-related chronic renal insuf-
ciency (16, 18, 59).

Because the steady state plasma bicarbonate concentration is a
continuous inverse function of NEAP over a broad range of positive
and negative values (16, 36, 56, 60), the findings in the pres-
tent study also suggest, from an evolutionary perspective, that a
mild systemic metabolic alkalosis resulting from chronic dietary
net base loading is the natural and optimal systemic acid-base state
of humans. To our knowledge there have been no measurements of
systemic acid-base equilibrium in modern hunter-gatherers living
in the wild. Denton (61) summarized the preliminary studies of
MacFarlane et al of a New Guinean hunter-gatherer tribal group
living in “the primitive feral condition,” noting that “urine pH of
adults was usually between 7.5 and 9.0 because of potassium
bicarbonate and carbonate excretion.” Such high urine pH values
are difficult to achieve, except with large mild alkalosis-producing
bicarbonate inputs.
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